P-7 88-97

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1882-83

~~191~~

(L R)

File No. 13.

Serial Nos. 1614

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1614. (50)

Part B for December 1887.

SUBJECT.

Claim of Pharmacies for the Registration of Ayurvedic Physicians and Ayurvedic Druggists

---

Indexed K

17. 8. 82

1882. 3903

From The [illegible] Commissioner

To Secretary to ~~Chief Commissioner~~, Assam & ~~General Department~~. Shillong

L.R. 13—82

Dated 14-8-82

Despatched 17-8-82

Received 18-8-82

ENCLOSURES NO —

General No. 272 } 1882—83
No.

Sir

With reference to the two petitions herein forwarded for report from one Ramkisra of Mendipathar Garo Hills, I am to inform you that it appears very doubtful whether the petitioner Ramkisra has the slightest claim in the Mendipathar Jagir of Hadiram, and I am to request you to ascertain in the Mendipathar Jagir, the present claimant is stated to be falsely representing himself as Rakté Ramkisra who is dead.

Some correspondence about this matter which was called for by me from

Read and ordered that the
said Petitioner having
been duly and legally discharged
according to the Petitioners Prayer
been Recorded by the Commissioners
Court.

And it appearing to the Court
that the Petitioner is a proper person
to be discharged of the
Petition, and that the
Petitioner can benefit by his
Petition, it will be granted.

And the Honorable
Court
Commissioners Court

[illegible]
Commissioners
Madison Co.

(3) 3

(General Serial No. 31.)

1882.

From

L.R. 13-82
1882

To The Deputy Comptroller
Pensions &c

Dated 21st August

Despatched —

Received

Reg. **Enclosures No.**

General No. 3746

No. 197

Sir I am directed to state that
Request that the Pensions
report furnished in the
above cases before
may be duly transmitted.

I am &c

B.O.

R.V.

(General Serial No. 29.) (4) 4

18.82 44 05.

From The Superintendent, Cauvery [illegible]
165

To L. R. 13 — Dewan

The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~ 8L 5
of Mysore, ~~Department~~

Dated 4th

Despatched September

Received

Enclosures No. 3

General No. 309 } 1882-83.
No.

2 Amanis Receipt Chits
regarding Enclosures, in
connection with the claim
of Bellari Channigha
to the Kandagal & Nandikal
Inam, Penukonda Taluk, &

Submitting for orders -
7/5/84.

2.

719

No. 309

Indian Dept. Superintendent's Office,
Chippewa, 4th September 1857.

To,

The Secretary to the
Province of Canada.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 3-6/197, dated 2nd Inst., I have the honor to forward herewith, as required, all documents, &c. &c. &c. referred to in, herewith enclosed in your letter No. 2-2, dated 2nd Inst. in connection with the claim of Abraham Pominville to the Chippewa & Mississauga Indians, Western District.

2. The Chief Pominville died in 1847, near the Credit & the Chippewa & the village in question. The Appellant is stated to have falsely represented himself as Chief Pominville, and succeeded [illegible] employed as Chiefs of the village, when according to the Census recently made, was discovered. It appears therefore necessary to refer the Appellant's case now the disputed claim to the Chippewa

5. The whole business is surrounded with intrigue from which my own office is not free – with the mysterious disappearance of the former proceedings in transit, and I am accordingly desirous that no party should benefit from the underhand work being carried on.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obt. Servt.

C. F. Mackenzie

Agt Commissioner

Kamm & Co.

3. But apart from the question of Pharaijha bein Itzey Pharaijha ob Alevine, I do not see how he can be entitled to the Shaubozahij of Yaakobe, if my view of the dismissal of Pantalfia, and bene for a sufficient grave offence as to cause the loss of "Yuk" to the family, is correct.

4. Pantalfia was dismissed by Pet. Parker Pirandaenger, Sheliene, for not entering in the accounts certain fines as to be taxed. The dismissal was richly deserved, and Pantalfia was besides afterwards imprisoned for giving a false Certificate that there were no trees on certain lands when there were trees. Still both these offences are scarcely sufficient to entail the loss of right to the Abominal of his yams etc., and I consider it undesirable to place your finger petitioner in view of his well known enmity to the dismissed Shaving into his afforestment.

(General-Serial No. 30.)

188(5)

8.

From

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

To

L R 13-82

824

Dated 29 9

Despatched } September 82

Received }

Enclosures No.

General No. 4377

No. 232

Requests early
attention to the
letter called for
regarding the return of
Timekeeper's Stamps
furnished to the
Lawleys Estate

8.0

for Secy

This reply is sent for
original with reference to
the Secretariat
letter and
Secretariat Representation

(General Serial No. 29.)

1882

From The Dy Commissioner Kadur

To

The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~ Dewan of Mysore,

~~Department~~

L.R. 13-81

Dated 25 Oct 82

Despatched " 3 October

Received 3

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 368. } 1882-83.
No.

A/from the Secretary's Reminder No 232 dated 23rd ultimo, this day rec'd, it is apprehended that the Commissioner on Revision Ramappa Khandoge Kadikere in the Tarikere Taluk, has not received the original papers in having hurriedly disposed of the case, a copy of No. 309 is herewith sent.

G. D. Van Dyke
Dy Commissioner
Kadur

Repre
4/10 Rg.

No 309

From the Asst. Collector of Customs,
Cuddalore,
Cuttungadam, 1st Sept. 1882.

L.R. 13-82

82 5

To

The Secretary to the
Diwan of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 3746/197 of 21st ultimo, I have the honor to forward herewith in original with enclosures, Exs. Asstt. Comr's report No 31, promised in my letter No 2-3 of 24th Ultimo, in connection with the claim of Appellant Phanialya to the Shanbogship of Hadikeni Nagani, Tumkur Taluk.

2. One Utigan Phanialya who died in 1880 was the Incumbent of the Shanbogship of the village in question. The Appellant is stated to have falsely represented himself as Utigan Phanialya and succeeded in getting himself employed as Shanbog of the village, when Lankappa, the permanent incumbent, was dismissed. It appears therefore very doubtful

it is difficult to say upon what right the present appellant succeeded in being appointed Shanbog of Haribhai Nagani when Sankappa was dismissed.

3. I herein beg to enclose extract (D&E) from the "Translation" of the Canarese Court proceedings in which it will be observed that the Amildar reports that the present Appellant Shanbhaga had no right in the Haribhai Nagani although Siriyappa while was enclosed in that Nagani and therefore should not be appointed in the place of Sankappa, but the reply C, from the Dy. Comm. Office, directed that the Appellant should be appointed in the place of Sankappa.

4. A few enquiries in the Dy. Office as to the circumstances and reasons under which this order was passed, may throw light upon the matter.

5. Up to this time all my enquiries with regard to the disappearance of the documents have been of no avail and I have very little hopes of getting any clue to them.

I have &c
Sd/ K. Subbarao Pai
Asst. Comm.
Kasaragod

Copies

Sd/ [illegible]
Dy. Commissioner
Kasaragod

inadvisable to place your present petitioner, in view of his well-known enmity to the dismissed Chaukidar into his appointment.

5.^ The whole business is surrounded with intrigue from which my office is not free, vide the mysterious disappearance of the former proceedings in transit, and I am exceedingly desirous that no party should benefit from the underhand work being carried on.

I have &c.

Sd/ J. T. Precipitation.

Dy Com^r

No. 31.

Per Post. Camp Office
Chitrangadam 25th Aug. 1882.

To,

The Dy Commissioner
Kusum Dt.

Sir,

With reference to your No 556 of 14th August 1882, I beg to submit the enclosed statement and exhibits per margin, and to state that from them & depositions it is quite clear that the present appellant Ranga Thamatha, is not duly qualified to exercise the functions of the Karnikam Inagani.

2. As the entire record connected with the case have mysteriously disappeared

as to whether the Appellant has now the highest claim on the Mauzahship.
3. But apart from the question of Phanidhar being Bijay Phanidhar or otherwise, I do not see how he can be entitled to the Mauzahship of Kadikine, if my view of the dismissal of Sukhapria not being for a sufficiently grave offence as to cause the loss of "luck" to the family is correct.
4. Sukhapria was dismissed by Sri Venkatanarasingam, Kadikine, for not entering in the accounts certain forged cess to be taxed. The dismissal was richly deserved, and Sukhapria was besides afterwards imprisoned for giving a false certificate that there were no trees on certain lands when there were trees. Still both these offences are scarcely sufficient to entail the loss of right to the appointment of his young son, and I consider it

L.R. 13 (81) 14

'82. 6

Read:-

Letter No. 307 of the 4th September 1882 from the Dy. Comm. of the Kadamdistrict, reporting upon the petition of Thaniappa claiming the thandapuchip of Kadikere Magani in the Kadikere Taluk.

No: 76 14 of 1882 / 21-3-83

Order thereon:-

Petitioner Thaniappa was appointed by the late Dy. Comm. as Thandaya of Kadikere Magani and of the Nagarahalli village of the Kadikere Magani in September 1880, when Sankappia, the former officiator, was dismissed for gross misconduct - The

Ittiga Channiappa was himself a Chambaque well known to the Taluk authorities, and it would have been impossible for Petitioner to have successfully personated him - For a long time after his appointment, Sankappiah apparently did not himself bring such a charge against him, and it is impossible to believe that there is any truth in it -

Under this view of the case, it is clear that the theft of the records from the Dy Commrs office could not have been committed in Petitioners interest, and that it is Sankappiah's son who expects to profit by it - Having regard however -

to -

of a grave nature — The
Petitioner has scarcely
been in possession of
the office for a year when
he was superceded in
favor of the dismissed
Shandogié son — The
Petitioner is the Chanbo'wa
of the Berlatere Magani
in his own right — He
has not been found
fault with in the
discharge of his duty
either in Berlatere or
in Baditere to which
he was appointed in
1880, and after careful
consideration of such
papers as are forthcoming
the Jowan is unable
to believe that Petitioner
obtained his appointment
to Sentappiadie Chan-
-bojueship by foul
personating himself
as Etige Nanciyah

present Dig Comr is of
opinion that the Offence
committed by Sankappiah
was not so grave as to
cause the loss of the
"Hak" to the family,
upon this ground, the
appointment of Ch. Thanjappa
made in September 1880
appears to have been
cancelled and Sankappiah
was appointed in his
stead, probably in accordance
with the orders contained
in the Devasi Proceedings
of 1st 927-36 dated 9th Aug 1881.
17
Those orders were not
meant to be applied
in this manner for they
distinctly contemplated
a long temporary deprivation
of the "Hak" to the family
when the offence committed
was as in this case,

2/

to the Dy Comm.r's opinion
that Santappiadi can
will make a good
Dinnigue, his appoint-
-ment to the Shanbogue-
-ship of the villages in
the Sadikere Magani
forcibly held by his
father is confirmed -
Santappiadi's family
must however, permanently
deprived of the Shan-
-bogueship of the Nagan-
-hally village in the
Sadikere Magani as
a punishment for
his offence and in
commutation of the
long temporary de-
-privation of the
entire Sah contem-
-plated in the orders
already referred to.
Per.t Santappiah who is

21

(1). Telegraph Book containing references to Contents of Cover No 39.

(2) The Daffar Book containing reference to Covers Nos 38 and 39.

(3). The whole of the papers relating to the Manager of Bagdoongi said to have been forwarded in Cover No 39.

The Dy. Comr is also requested to obtain immediately from the Cache Department at Chitra a Register of Official Letters and Packets received in that Office on the 28th June 1882 for despatch. An extract from that Register shewing particulars of Covers Nos. 38 & 39 should be forwarded to this Office as soon as possible.

4/7

19/8

Secy.

To the Dy Comr
Kadur Dist

accountant Tanjore
all labour under the
Peacock suspension
until the offence is
brought home to any
one of them, ~~& until~~
till this is done they cannot
hope to be reinstated in
the Govt service —
If necessary the Dy
Comm^r may suspend
all the three officials
pending his enquiry
in making which no
further time should be
lost.

3 The Dy Comm^r
is requested in the
meantime to submit
to this office immediately
for perusal the following
papers referred to by
Vasava Rao in his
deposition —

in his own right, the Chamberlain
of the Lieutenancy of Ajmere
must be appointed
Chamberlain of Rajpootana
which is the Head-Quarters —
An arrangement which
will be convenient &
satisfactory in every
way.

It appears that the
Dy Comrs have not passed
any orders regarding
the officials responsible
for the keep of the prisoners
records in this case —
The matter is of a very
serious nature and
Every endeavour must
be made to bring home
the offence to the guilty
party. The Revenue
Secretary, the Revenue
Head Assistant, and

(8)

TO BE PLACED IN THE FILE.

"347"
30

General No.
No.* L-R. 13-8/2

No. 17. 847.

Date 9. 4 — 83.

From Sec. Dy. Comm. & Kandy.

or

To (from letter only. Col. Sec. Ceylon)

6. 83.

SUBJECT.

Submitting, report & enquiry held in the matter of certain Pearling Records

13/4/83

To the Secretary

For General No. 3780 dated 13/12/92
9. 1609

from the Registrar Supreme Court, Colombo
No 5716 9/12/92
1452

189. Re- the continuation of Services of Rama Brassa Tevari.
Sent to Gl Branch

9-12-92

(General Serial No. 29)

-1 JUN 83

1883.

From

To

The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~

of Mysore, ~~Department~~

Dated 29th May

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 126 } 18 —8.
No.

Re the Bandobast of Kalpathalli — Erection of a compound wall round the Choultry of this village.

1.6.83

Forwarded

Respd. Receipt Diary 34/on 1/4. on the subject of certain enclosures received.

No 126

Office of the Commissioners of Police.
Calcutta, 24th May 1883.

To L. R. 13-82

827.

The Secretary to the
Govt of Bengal.

Sir,

In regards para 2 of the Govt Proceedings, No 1332, of the 2nd March last, in which the Principles is admonished for Disorderly & Disgraceful conduct, I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to lay the matter again before the Govt, as in view of the further light thrown on this case in the investigation recently held into the intentional concealment & disappearance of certain correspondence by officials in the office, the Govt may consider it desirable to modify the portion of his order.

2. It is clear that the Principles is not "Ottiz" Principles, and it is only Ottiz Principles who had the right to the Principalship. Whether the Principles appointment is ultra vires as Ottiz Principles

claims and who has already cost his own Government to look after, with only kind & indian feuds & trouble.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most ob. Servant,

Gratiot City

Deputy Surveyor,

M. M.

Kadue Dr.

is now a question which must remain, owing to the papers having been made away with, undecided,— though, if he did not, why the papers should have been caused to disappear is a mystery.

3. If this Panchayat is appointed to the Jagannadh village in which he is away, the hereditary but dismissed Shanbog, Panchapakshi Rao, I am given to understand, a great deal of property, he will undoubtedly be in a position to annoy Subbaji's family, and endless quarrels will be the result.

4. I would therefore beg to urge the Government to appoint a complete stranger, to both sides, to be Shanbog of this village, as, though the dismissal of the late Shanbog and the taking away the right from his family is well merited, the appointment, in his stead, of an enemy, who has no hereditary

TO BE PLACED IN THE FILE.

(12)

General No.
No.

No. 1314/77

Date 20. 6. 83

From

or

To D/Commr. Kindersley.

SUBJECT.

Directing Tahsildar Rama Prasad Tiwari & Rev. Md. Ismail Khan Peon to be dismissed two services.

For General No. 3700/9609 dated 13/10/92

from The Dy. Commr. Shahjahanpur

No 5761/145 dated 12/10/92

Re- the Confirmation of Services of Rama Prasad Tiwari

To Genl. Branch

189

9/12/92

(13)

L. R. 12—82

& 3

Read:—

Letter No. 126 of the 29th May 1883 from the Commissioner of the Kaira Dn.

Order thereon.

A. 13/79 f. 2 & 4 & 5.

After careful reconsideration of the circumstances connected with the dismissal of Ranchhodbhai Ambe Nanabhai Patel of Nanipalla as Chairman of Nadiad Nagar, and the destruction of the records connected with that case by the special officer the Dewan is of opinion that there are no grounds whatever for altering the order already passed for the restoration of Nanipalla to the Chairmanship of the Municipality which is included in the Nadiad Nagar

and the duties connected
with which are therefore
most efficiently performed
by Thanjappa who is
already in charge of
that Magani.

2. The Diwan is of
opinion that Ramlapeni
Thanjappa is in no way
connected with the
disappearance of the records
from the District Office,
that such disappearance
was not calculated
to advance his interest and that
in aid of the claim of
Lanthappiah Son - the
Dy. Commr. will accordingly
be requested to give
immediate effect to the
orders already passed -
It will be noted that
when those orders were
passed, the whole of the
available information
was before the Diwan;

there are strong grounds for suspecting Mr.

and that the enquiry subsequently held by the Dy. Commissioner failed wholly to throw additional light upon the disappearance of the records connected with the case.

20/6/83

Dy.

Dy. Commr.
Kadur District

1883

To, The Deputy Commr.
Kadur Dt

Dated, 22nd June.
Despd

Encl. &c.
No 1371
79

Directing the D.C.
to give immediate effect to
the orders already passed for the
appointment of Netalgere
Paniappa & the Thambogishi
of the Nagamadlli village.

[redacted]

ದಿ 15-6-53 ನೇ ಲಘುವಾರ ಶ್ರೀ ——— (೧) ಅವರಾಧಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರು ———

ಅ-ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ - ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಅವರ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ ಅವರು ಹಾಜರಾಗಿದ್ದರು - ಅವರಿಗೆ

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ ಅವರು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಅವರ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ ಅವರು ಹಾಜರಾಗಿದ್ದರು - ಅವರಿಗೆ

Office of the Secretary of State
Bangalore 9th Feb 1883.

No. 1066.

I am directed to inform you that the Government of Mysore has been requested to give effect to the orders already passed for the appointment of Surveyor to the Commissioner to the Mandya Taluk of the Seringapatam District which is included in the Mandya Inquiry Indiana one Return

By order

Secretary

Vide Sec Secretary's No. 137
dt 22-6-83 to the Govt
of Mysore

(Referred to Board of Revenue
Secretary to Govt
M)

No (5) Patna Political
Jail Commissioner's Office
Chitaranjan 24 June 1884.

To

The Secretary to the Govt
of Bengal
L.R. 1882 Jail
82 11

Sir,

With reference to the endorsement
No 1881 dated the 22 June
1882, I have the honor to report for the
information and orders of Government
that Bhaniya, Jaipalia alias Jagat Mal
Kora Bhagani, Jaidebpur Kalak, has
been convicted before Mr Litter
=ton Lacher in Criminal Case No
81 of 1884 on the file of the Local Court
Commissioner & Mr Clare Magistrate
Pargana Patiali, for having Committed
the Offence of Perjury in a Civil Suit
on about the 27th February last.

2. The above Bhaniya is Convicted in the
Court being the Lowest Criminal
Court Empowered by the Code of Criminal
Procedure to try the Case & Pargana
Jaipur and the Jail of Chitaranjan
are the nearest Jails to Jaipur. The
accused has been sentenced
to Imprisonment Rigorous Impris=
=onment for a Fine of Rs 200 or in

37

1884.

From

The Secretary

Rajmu

To the Secretary to

Govt

Bengal

Date 12

Despd 13

Despd

Rule 1.C

No 144

Solicits instructions

regarding the Telephonic

of the Alambagh Jail

with

16.6.84

Noted

Referred to Executive

Engineer Fyzabad

Fyzabad Irrigation

Division. RJ.

Sir I am appointing Sam Ka Hiagaio
Constable of Kaumakapili
Village. He is also the Chairman
who is appointed to take Evidence
for Levy in the place for the Vacancy
occasioned by Peter Kaumakaiwa's
decease. Peter Kaumakaiwa
was also the Collector of
Taxes for the Kaumakapili Village
Districts. Sir to be Collected
Taxes for the Kaumakapili Village of
Kaumakapili. Sir I take the
liberty to inform, I signed to the Infor-
=mation, Sir Application for Dis-
=tinct to be Sent in Petition about
the appointment of Collectors, Sir I
Recommended Sir Petition should be
Given to Constable of Kaumakapili.
Sir I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient
Humble Servt
[illegible]
Kaumakapili
Honolulu

M. M.

in defendant to suffer a forfeiture for the
misdemeanour.

3. Plaintiff avers that the original
Bail Bond of the Defendant is the
Magistrate. But Defendant in spite of the
Bail Bond having become forfeited
the Bail in law of Plaintiff is also
bound to the Magistrate to produce
Defendant, and since the Power of
Magistrate alone, of the Magistrate
village alone.

4. Plaintiff avers that the Defendant
has also to answer Plaintiff
by stating to him that the said
Money was forfeited for which reason
the said Bond became forfeited
to the said Magistrate and Plaintiff
has since the said Bond originally be-
longed to Defendant the said Magistrate
Magistrate to which Plaintiff
Plaintiff is to which Plaintiff

5. Plaintiff avers that at the time of being
the service of the Defendant the Defendant
was bound in duty for attempt-
ing to collect the money from the Defe
for the payment of his Bail bond
in the Magistrate office against

(General Serial No. 31.)

1884 (23)

From L-213-82
82 12

To Sir Dr Jenner Hadow

Dated 18th July

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 2405-Reg
No. 116

Sanctioning the appointment
of a Surgeon to the Chantlop-
-ship of the Agamemnon

(General Serial No. 30.)

39

188 .

From

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

To

Dated 5/1

Despatched —

Received

Submd

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

Read letter No 144 dated 6 2
June 1874 from the A Com:
Kadur Dt

Order

Sankappiah's family
having been permanently
deprived of the
Manbogs-ship of the
Nagenhalli Village,
and as ~~No~~
~~Changed to the~~
the Office ~~of~~ in now
Vacant owing to the
Dismissal of Nerdegire
Phanigappa, a
Competent Manager
Wholly unconnected
with both families
may, as recommended
by the Dept Com, be
appointed to fill the
Vacancy —

by

16/7

Order: No 2405 dated 8.7.84.
116

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur reports that Sankappiah
was appointed by Government in Con-
-sideration of his hereditary rights
& Emoluments in the Village
of Nagenhalli. In that Con-
-sideration Phanigappa has
been considered to be entitled
to the Office of Nerdegire & Manbog
of Nagenhalli Village. Having
undergone various punishments
for his bad conduct, Sankappiah
was dismissed & Phanigappa
the Manbog of Nagenhalli
is Stated to be entirely free from
blame. He has therefore to be
restored to the Emoluments &
Privileges of the office.

40

Under these circumstances
it would not be wise to
appoint a new solicitor at
this moment in question:
and as this is also the opinion
of Mr. Bourne who signed
by him in case of his present
letter, Mr. Bourne & Clarke are
and direct that Clarke as
solicitor to be made that
for twenty years & that
this decision the Agreement
with Messrs. Bourne
Completion & and Assignment
Messrs. Bourne & Clarke

R. G.

4/5

Nagenhally village
also, which is contrary
to the orders of Government.
To exclude him totally
is out of the question. To
form Nagenhalli into
a separate charge
by itself would involve
an extra cost of Rs 16
to Government as stated
by the Superintendent
of Revenue Survey.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obt. servant,

[illegible]

Offg Dy Commissioner
Kurnool

General Serial No. 29.) 14

1887

OFFICE OF THE
2668
15 JUL 87
205
DEWAN OF MYSORE

From The Dy Commissioner,
Kurnool Dt.

To
The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 11th July 1887.
Despatched 12th July.
Received

Enclosures No. 2 Plans.

General No. 1887 } 88
No.

Sir, I have the honor to forward for the orders of Government the marginal correspondence between this office and the Survey Superintendent, relative to the appointing of a Shanbog for the Nadikere charge in Sankere Taluk.

2. The Shanbogi charge as apportioned by the Survey Superintendent in the statement of marginal remuneration received in 1885-86 consists of the

1. This Office No. 390 Dt. 6.6.87 to Supt. Rev. Survey
2. Reply to do - No 2654 Dt 5.7.87 with Com. papers
3. To the Chief Secretary

466

villages of

1. Hadikere
2. Mallenhalli
3. Rushipura

} of the Hadikere Inayani.

and (4) Nagenhalli of the Harlakere In... and the question now is who should be appointed for this charge?

3. The Village of Nagenhalli was take... away from Sankappaiya's family a... a punishment vide Proceedings of the Dewan No. 7614/332 of 21st March 1883 and the appointment of an outsider as Shanbog of the village was sanction... in Dewan's Proceedings No. 2405/118 of 18th July 1884.

4. Sankappaiya's son Kristappa a minor, has been ever since the demise of Nagenhalli, the Hadikere Shanbog of the first three villages and no Christian Kristappa, an outsider, was appointed the Shanbog of Nagenhalli on forfeiture.

5. There should be one officiator for each charge, and to allow Sankappaiya's son to become the Shanbog of the entire charge, would be giving this

L. R-13-82 43

(28) 82 14

Kadun 28.

Ki.

Ko 15-20 Nëntor 1887.

3. Për gjithë këtë

L46

4.

1887

(29)

The Big Cove Lia-Ta

16 12 8

W. 5226

138